DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



July 29, 1999

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 99/00-17

TO: COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

COUNTY FISCAL OFFICERS
COUNTY PROBATION OFFICERS

INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM COORDINATORS

SUBJECT: INDEPENDENT LIVING PROGRAM (ILP) ALLOCATION

The purpose of this CFL is to provide the counties with their State Fiscal Year (SFY) 1999/00 ILP allocation for a total of \$28,365,817 (Attached). The allocation is a combination of federal and state funds. Based on an agreement with California Welfare Directors Association, funding for ILP will be controlled to a SFY and \$300,000 is being held back to be used by the State for a variety of purposes in support of ILP at the county level. We have revised the FY 98/99 allocation to align the funding for the program from a federal to a state fiscal year. One-fourth of the federal funds has been re-allocated from FY 98/99 to FY 99/00 so that counties have access to four quarters of state and federal funds for the program. Funding is provided for services to all eligible foster care youth up to age 21. As in prior years, a \$200,000 adjustment for the Los Angeles County contract with California Youth Connection has been taken.

Consistent with the policy established in CFL No. 94/95-44, counties can utilize all funds provided in this allocation without match at the local level. However, counties are encouraged to continue the use of any funds previously contributed as in-kind match to expand available services to eligible youth. Each county's ILP allocation was calculated based upon their proportionate share of the total Foster Care cases, age 15.5 years and over as reported by counties for calendar year 1998 on the Medi-Cal Eligibility Determination System. A minimum allocation of \$10,000 was established to ensure an adequate level of funding for smaller counties. Consistent with prior policy, the administrative costs of ILP are limited to 20 percent of the allocation as reflected in the attachment. The cost of personnel to provide ILP services is not included in this administrative cap. ILP services costs should be claimed to Program Code 184 and ILP administrative/case management costs to Program Code 182. Control of the 20 percent administrative expenditures will occur during the closeout process.

At closeout, it is anticipated that any surplus ILP funds may be redistributed among those counties who overspent their allocation. Costs claimed in excess of either allocation will be shifted to county only share using SUOC 525 (ILP-Administrative) and/or 524 (ILP-Services) as required. During the closeout process all shifts will be adjusted subject to the appropriate 20 percent for the administrative function and 80 percent for services function for the allocation controls.

Questions regarding this allocation should be directed to your Contracts and Financial Analysis Bureau analyst at (916) 657-3806. Other questions related to the ILP program should be addressed to the Foster Care Services Bureau at (916) 445-7001.

Original Document Signed by Marge Dillard for Douglas D. Park on 7/29/99

DOUGLA	S D. PAR	K, Chief
Financial	Planning	Branch

Attachment

c: CWDA

INDEPNEDENT LIVING PROGRAM ALLOCATION FY 1999-00

TOTAL

	TOTAL		
	ILP	Administration	Services
	Allocation	Only	Only
COUNTIES	\$28,365,817	Code 182	Code 184
0001111111	\$20,000,01	20%	80%

Alameda	1,441,959	288,392	1,153,567
Alpine	10,000	2,000	8,000
Amador	22,035	4,407	17,628
Butte	369,833	73,967	295,866
Calaveras	45,024	9,005	36,019
Colusa	24,485	4,897	19,588
Contra Costra	625,012	125,002	500,010
Del Norte	56,539	11,308	45,231
El Dorado	112,173	22,435	89,738
Fresno	764,473	152,895	611,578
Glenn	44,660	8,932	35,728
Humboldt	184,397	36,879	147,518
Imperial	126,384	25,277	101,107
Inyo Kern	36,441 466,328	7,288 93,266	29,153 373,062
Kings	77,687	15,537	62,150
Lake	71,934	14,387	57,547
Lassen	64,975	12,995	51,980
Los Angeles	11,002,446	2,200,489	8,801,957
Madera	100,303	20,061	80,242
Marin	129,448	25,890	103,558
Mariposa	37,610	7,522	30,088
Mendocino	121,636	24,327	97,309
Merced	231,308	46,262	185,046
Modoc	18,684	3,737	14,947
Mono	10,000	2,000	8,000
Monterey	233,746	46,749	186,997
Napa	107,966	21,593	86,373
Nevada	73,829	14,766	59,063
Orange	846,815	169,363	677,452
Placer	216,296	43,259	173,037
Plumas	32,929	6,586	26,343
Riverside	1,196,778	239,356	957,422
Sacramento	1,080,970	216,194	864,776
San Bernardino	54,328	10,866	43,462 1,249,117
San Diego	1,561,396 1,341,951	312,279 268,390	1,073,561
San Francisco	872,918	174,584	698,334
San Joaquin	576,787	115,357	461,430
San Luis Obispo	217,112	43,422	173,690
San Mateo	276,236	55,247	220,989
Santa Barbara	221,894	44,379	177,515
Santa Clara	842,868	168,574	674,294
Santa Cruz	132,306	26,461	105,845
Shasta	134,592	26,918	107,674
Sierra	10,000	2,000	8,000
Siskiyou	67,530	13,506	54,024
Solano	247,000	49,400	197,600
Sonoma	195,550	39,110	156,440
Stanislaus	287,881	57,576	230,305
Sutter	65,231	13,046	52,185
Tehama	106,791	21,358	85,433
Trinity	39,308	7,862	31,446
Tulare	569,653	113,931	455,722
Tuolumne	34,351	6,870	27,481
Ventura	284,205	56,841	227,364
Yolo	125,559	25,112	100,447
Yuba	115,267	23,053	92,214
Total	20 265 017	5 672 162	22 602 654
Total	28,365,817	5,673,163	22,692,654